

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| · APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/698,482 | 11/03/2003 | Shigeo Ishida | 117649 | 3222 | |
| 25944 | 7590 05/23/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | HAUGLANI | HAUGLAND, SCOTT J | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , 22020 | | 3654 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | 10/698,482 | ISHIDA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit . | | | |
| , | Scott Haugland | 3654 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | 1 | t with the correspondence ac | Idress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma n. a reply within the statutory minimum o briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) latute, cause the application to become | ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this c the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicati | on. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | • | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | • | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar | niner. | | • | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are | : a)□ accepted or b)⊠ o | bjected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abo | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | rrection is required if the drav | ving(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl | FR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attac | thed Office Action or form P1 | ГО-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | eign priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received i | n Application No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | priority documents have be | een received in this National | Stage | | |
| application from the International Bu | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies | not received. | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | · | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/3/03. | | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC | D-152) | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office | e Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail | Date 5/10/05 | | |

ML

Application/Control Number: 10/698,482 Page 2

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 21, "the printing section" lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taubenberger.

Taubenberger discloses a printing apparatus comprising a printing unit DA and a continuous paper transporting mechanism. The paper transporting mechanism comprises a frictionally transporting section 13, paper braking sections (6 and the braking section comprising axles 2; col. 1, lines 35-37), and a paper-position restriction section 3 having a pair of rollers 4 arranged at an oblique angle to the paper transporting direction. Note that the paper-position restricting section 3 is arranged between the paper transporting section 13 and the paper braking section comprising axles 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,482

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Taubenberger (U.S. Patent No. 5,685,471) in view of Ohba et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,592,276).

Taubenberger is described above.

Ohba et al teaches locating a printing section for printing on continuous paper web downstream of feed rollers 8, 9 of a paper transporting mechanism.

It appears that the printing section of Taubenberger is disposed on a downstream side of the frictionally transporting section since elements 13 are disclosed as being feed rollers and they are immediately adjacent to the entrance of printing unit DA. In any case, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the printing section of Taubenberger on the downstream side of the frictionally transporting section as taught by Ohba et al to feed the paper through the printing section without excessive tension in the printing section.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taubenberger in view of Ohba et al as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Focke et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,603,800).

Taubenberger does not disclose that the pushing-out member is located at a position spaced apart from the paper during printing.

Focke et al teaches spacing rollers 33, 34 of a web accumulator apart during normal feeding and processing of the web so that the web is fed in a straight line without contact with the rollers. The rollers are moved into a web engaging and

accumulating configuration only when the accumulator is required for temporary storage of a length of web.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Taubenberger with an accumulator having pushing-out members or rollers that are moved away from the web being fed to the printer during printing and normal feeding of the web to the printer as taught by Focke et al to eliminate unnecessary interference of the accumulator with the web when it is not necessary to store additional web material.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ichii et al and Felix are cited to show web feeders having accumulators for storage of a variable length of web. Ogawa et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,166,736) and Ireland are cited to show web feeders having pivotable arms for engaging and guiding the web.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Haugland whose telephone number is (571) 272-6945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,482 Page 6

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjh 5/10/05

KATHY MATECKI
IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600